

Hopf Bifurcations in Fast/Slow Systems with Rate-Dependent Tipping

Jonathan Hahn ¹

Abstract

We analyze rate-dependent tipping in a fast/slow system and find a Hopf bifurcation as the rate parameter increases in the reduced co-moving system. This implies extra structure as the system moves from tracking a quasi-static equilibrium to tipping. The state tracks the quasi-static equilibrium in a spiral corresponding to the emerging periodic orbit in the Hopf bifurcation. We apply the same analysis to a forced van der Pol oscillator.

Introduction

Bifurcations are most often viewed as changes in the stability of an equilibrium as a parameter varies. Rate-dependent or rate-induced bifurcations are distinct in that the stability of a system does not change with the variation of a parameter, but a parameter varies too quickly for the state to follow the movement of a stable equilibrium. In many examples of rate-dependent tipping, a critical rate that defines a threshold for the rate of the parameter is found. Below the critical rate, the state is able track the equilibrium, and past the critical rate the system tips and fails to track it.

In this paper we analyze a fast/slow system containing an example of rate-induced tipping. This system is particularly interesting because as the rate passes through the bifurcation, the state will go from tracking the quasi-static equilibrium steadily to tracking it in a spiral. This spiral tracking phenomenon occurs when the rate is beyond what was determined in [2] to be its critical value.

The method we use to show this spiral tracking is to reduce the non-autonomous rate-dependent fast/slow system to a 2-dimensional autonomous system, where the rate parameter is a standard bifurcation parameter. In this reduced system we show there is a Hopf bifurcation as the rate parameter increases past its critical value, causing a stable equilibrium into an unstable one, and creating a stable periodic orbit. The emergence of the stable periodic orbit corresponds to the spiraling of the state as it tracks the quasi-equilibrium in the original system. As the rate parameter increases further, the periodic orbit in the reduced system increases in size, corresponding to an increased range of spiraling of the state in the original system. Nothing changes topologically about the system, but the rapidly increasing size of the spiral may be viewed as a tipping behavior in the system.

We present this example as a case where a simple critical value for the rate may not give the full story of rate-induced tipping. The critical value is still important, as it determines when the state begins spiraling rather than tracking in a steady manner. However, the state can track the quasi-static equilibrium even when the rate is slightly past its critical value, if we consider spiraling nearby to count as “tracking.”

¹School of Mathematics, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, MN 55455, USA (hahnj240@umn.edu)
October 28, 2016




Figure 1: Phase portrait of the fast-slow system in equation (1) with a fixed $\lambda = 0$. The slow manifold is shown in red, and the dot shows the equilibrium point $(0, 0)$.

Rate-Induced Bifurcations

Consider a system with state vector $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, with parameters $\mu \in \mathbb{R}^k$ that do not vary over time, and a time-dependent external forcing function $\lambda(rt) \in \mathbb{R}^l$:

$$\frac{dx}{dt} = f(x, \mu, \lambda(rt))$$

Here ρ is the rate of the forcing function. We will assume that for every fixed value of λ in some domain, the system has a stable equilibrium, $\tilde{x}$. We will also assume that the stable state depends smoothly on λ . So the equilibrium of the system can be written as $\tilde{x}(\lambda)$. For small values of ρ , the state will track the moving equilibrium, $\tilde{x}(\lambda(rt))$, called the *quasi-static equilibrium* (QSE). In some cases, for ρ large enough, the quasi-static equilibrium moves too quickly for the system to follow. In this situation, we say there is a rate-induced bifurcation.

Authors like Ashwin et. al. and Perryman [2, 1, 6] use various criteria to determine when a rate-induced tipping point has occurred. Some cases they examine with a *tipping radius* R , in which the system tips if the state reaches a distance of R from the QSE. In other cases, there may be a topological change in the system which causes the state to move away from the QSE, or to the basin of attraction of another equilibrium, as in [7]. Sometimes, as in [9], tipping occurs when an excursion happens due to the formation of a canard trajectory.

In this paper, we will look at two fast-slow systems which have a rate-induced bifurcation phenomenon. In particular, these systems have a rate-induced Hopf bifurcation. First we examine a system that appeared in [2]. Then we analyze a system similar to the forced van der Pol oscillator in [5] where the same rate-tipping phenomenon occurs. Both of these examples have a stable equilibrium near a fold. Weiczorek et. al. [9] also examine fast/slow systems with a stable equilibrium near the fold of a critical manifold. These authors focus on the formation of canard trajectories as the rate increases. Instead, we analyze Hopf bifurcations that occur in these fast/slow systems with a folded critical manifold.

A Hopf Bifurcation in Rate-Dependent Tipping

This section concerns a two-dimensional fast-slow system with a linear forcing function $\lambda(t) = rt$. Ashwin et. al. analyze this system in the limit as the fast parameter $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$. Their analysis

finds a critical tipping point at $r_c = \sum_{n=1}^N (1/2)^n$. Beyond the critical value, we find that the state begins to spiral around the quasi-static equilibrium.

The fast-slow system in [2], (3.12 - 3.14) with state variables (x_1, x_2) and forcing parameter λ increasing with rate r is given by:

$$\begin{aligned}\epsilon \frac{dx_1}{dt} &= x_2 + \lambda + x_1(x_1 - 1) \\ \frac{dx_2}{dt} &= -\sum_{n=1}^N x_1^n \\ \frac{d\lambda}{dt} &= r > 0\end{aligned}\tag{1}$$

In this example N is odd and $N \geq 5$, which ensures a globally stable equilibrium at $(0, -\lambda)$ with a fold at $(\frac{1}{2}, -\lambda + \frac{1}{4})$ for fixed λ . A phase portrait of the system is shown in figure 1. Three numerically computed trajectories with different behaviors are depicted in figure 2: one tracking the quasi-static equilibrium steadily, one tracking in a small spiral, and one diverging from the quasi-static equilibrium.

To explain why the state begins tracking in a spiral, we first reduce the system to an autonomous 2-dimensional system with a change of variables. Ashwin et. al. call this a “co-moving” system, as the new variable increases along with the variable x_2 and the rate parameter λ . In this system, we can find a Hopf bifurcation with an emerging periodic orbit that corresponds to the spiraling trajectories. We set $w = x_2 + \lambda$:

$$\begin{aligned}\epsilon \frac{dx_1}{dt} &= w + x_1(x_1 - 1) \\ \frac{dw}{dt} &= -\sum_{n=1}^N x_1^n + r\end{aligned}\tag{2}$$

The equilibrium (x_1^*, w^*) for (2) is given by the solution to

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{n=1}^N x_1^{*n} &= r \\ w^* &= -x_1^{*2} + x_1^*\end{aligned}\tag{3}$$

The Jacobian at this equilibrium is:

$$\begin{bmatrix} (2x_1^* - 1)/\epsilon & 1/\epsilon \\ \sum_{n=1}^N -n(x_1^*)^{n-1} & 0 \end{bmatrix}\tag{4}$$

and the eigenvalues of the Jacobian are

$$\frac{2x_1^* - 1 \pm \sqrt{(1 - 2x_1^*)^2 - 4\epsilon \sum_{n=1}^N n(x_1^*)^{n-1}}}{2\epsilon}.\tag{5}$$

When $x_1^* < 1/2$, the equilibrium is stable, which is in agreement with the conclusion in [2] that the system does not tip for $r < \sum_{n=1}^N (1/2)^n = r_c$. As r increases, so does x_1^* , so when $r = r_c$, and $x_1^* = 1/2$ the pair of eigenvalues cross the imaginary axis, and a Hopf bifurcation occurs. The attracting periodic orbit formed at the Hopf bifurcation in the co-moving system implies the existence of trajectories moving in spirals in the original system, as $x_2(t) = w(t) - rt$.

As r increases beyond r_c , the size of this periodic orbit grows, but the topology of the system otherwise stays the same; there are no new equilibria or periodic orbits. Past the tipping point,




Figure 2: Left: the fast-slow system with three trajectories shown and the parabolic slow manifold shown in the system (x_1, x_2, λ) . The solid line shows the QSE, the dashed line shows the peak of the slow manifold. Each trajectory has a different behavior; one tracks the QSE without spiraling, one spirals as it tracks the QSE, corresponding to the periodic orbit of the Hopf bifurcation in the co-moving system, and one tips over the manifold without returning. Right: The parabolic slow manifold (red) and four periodic orbits in the co-moving system for values of $r > \sum_{n=1}^N (1/2)^n$.

the system has a very large stable periodic orbit for $r \gg r_c$. This presents an interesting question about this form of tipping: at what size of periodic orbit do we say the system has tipped? For any $\epsilon > 0$ there are values of r greater than r_c such that the system tracks the QSE in a small periodic orbit. On the other hand, for any $r > r_c$, small values of ϵ exist that will produce arbitrarily large excursions away from the equilibrium. Values of r below r_c will not produce tipping, but values past that may produce a large excursion in the system, or may only create a small periodic orbit around the quasi-static equilibrium. Ultimately, the value of r_c certainly describes bifurcation point, but the increase from tracking to a large excursion is not immediate at the value r_c . The maximum distance of the state to the QSE is a continuous function of r even at r_c .

Hopf Bifurcation of a Forced van der Pol Oscillator

In this section, we will analyze a linearly forced van der Pol oscillator. This system has been well studied; we are only introducing a new forcing which has a rate-induced bifurcation. Van der Pol oscillators have been historically analyzed with forcing on the x_2 variable [5, 8]. Here we put the linear forcing on the x_1 variable as in equation (1):

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon \frac{dx_1}{dt} &= x_2 + \left(x_1 - \frac{x_1^3}{3}\right) + \lambda \\ \frac{dx_2}{dt} &= -x_1 - \alpha \\ \frac{d\lambda}{dt} &= r > 0 \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$




Figure 3: Left: phase portrait of the system in (6) for fixed $\lambda = 0$. The cubic slow manifold is in red, with the dot marking the stable equilibrium. Right: trajectories plotted illustrating the progression of the Hopf bifurcation and canard explosion in (7).

In equation (6), $\alpha > 1$ is a fixed constant, and for fixed λ , this system has a stable equilibrium at $(x_1^*, x_2^*) = (\alpha, \alpha - \frac{\alpha^3}{3} - \lambda)$. A phase portrait with $\lambda = 0$ is shown in figure 3. We create the co-moving system with the new variable, $w = x_2 + \lambda$:

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon \frac{dx_1}{dt} &= w + (x_1 - \frac{x_1^3}{3}) \\ \frac{dw}{dt} &= -x_1 - \alpha + r \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

This reduction results in what is essentially the standard van der Pol system with r as the bifurcation parameter [3, 4]. In this system, a Hopf bifurcation will occur when $r > \alpha - 1$. Unlike the fast/slow system (1), the periodic orbit formed in the Hopf bifurcation will progress quickly to a canard explosion (see figure 3). In the original system, this translates to rate-induced tipping for $r > \alpha - 1$. Like in the previous system, as the tipping occurs, the state will spiral around the equilibrium. If the rate is high enough for a canard explosion in (7), this spiral will be in the shape of the canard trajectory. For even higher rates, at $r > \alpha + 1$, the co-moving system again has a stable equilibrium on the other branch of the critical manifold. The state will no longer spiral, but will follow at a further distance (at least 2) from the QSE.

Conclusions

We presented two examples of two-dimensional systems with an unusual rate-induced tipping phenomenon where the state may track a quasi-static equilibrium in a spiral. Both examples are fast/slow systems with a folded critical manifold, and a stable equilibrium near the fold. Each system is forced at a given rate, and if this rate is past a critical value, the state will track the quasi-static equilibrium in a spiral rather than approaching a steady state a fixed distance from the QSE. This phenomenon can be explained by reducing each system to an autonomous co-moving system, where we could find a Hopf bifurcation corresponding to the point where the spiraling appears.




Figure 4: The slow critical manifold of the forced van der Pol system is shown, overlaid with trajectories for various rates for the forcing parameter, with $\alpha = 1.5, \epsilon = .02$. Each trajectory has a different behavior: tracking the QSE closely (blue, $r = 0.1$), tracking in a small spiral (orange, $r = 0.5025$), and tracking as a canard trajectory (green, $r = 0.503$).

A key point of this paper is that at the critical rate value, these systems do not just proceed immediately from following the QSE to repelling far away from the QSE. As the rate passes across the critical value, an expanding spiral in the state trajectory forms around the QSE.

This type of rate-induced tipping is likely to show up in other fast/slow systems with folded slow manifolds. We examined linear forcing in these systems, but we suspect there is more to be discovered about the bifurcation structure in examples of rate-induced tipping with various kinds of forcing functions. This result may also have implications for the prediction of rate-induced tipping points in real-world systems.

References

- [1] P. Ashwin, C. Perryman, and S. Wieczorek. Parameter shifts for nonautonomous systems in low dimension: bifurcation and rate-induced tipping. *arXiv:1506.07734*, 2015.
- [2] P. Ashwin, S. Wieczorek, R. Vitolo, and P. Cox. Tipping points in open systems: bifurcation, noise-induced, and rate-dependent examples in the climate system. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc.*, 370:1166 – 1184, 2012.
- [3] E. Benoit, J. L. Caixot, F. Diener, and M. Diener. Chasse de canard (première partie). *Collectanea Mathematica*, 32(1):37–76, 1981.
- [4] M. Diener. The canard unchained or how fast/slow dynamical systems bifurcate. *The Mathematical Intelligencer*, 6(3):38–49, 1984.
- [5] J. Guckenheimer, K. Hoffman, and W. Weckesser. The forced van der Pol equation I: the slow flow and its bifurcations. *SIAM J. Applied Dynamical Systems*, 2:1–35, 2003.

- [6] C. Perryman. How fast is too fast? Rate-induced bifurcations in multiple time-scale systems. *PhD Thesis: University of Exeter*, 2015.
- [7] M. Scheffer, E. H. van Nes, M. Holmgren, and T. Hughes. Pulse-driven loss of top down control: the critical-rate hypothesis. *Ecosystems*, 11:226–237, 2008.
- [8] P. Szmolyan and M. Wechselberger. Canards in $\mathbb{R}^3$. *Journal of Differential Equations*, 177:419–453, 2001.
- [9] S. Wieczorek, P. Ashwin, C. M. Luke, and P. M. Cox. Excitability in ramped systems: the compost bomb instability. *Proc. R. Soc. A*, 467:1243–1269, 2011.